

Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited and The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited take no responsibility for the contents of this announcement, make no representation as to its accuracy or completeness and expressly disclaim any liability whatsoever for any loss howsoever arising from or in reliance upon the whole or any part of the contents of this announcement.



STYLAND HOLDINGS LIMITED

大凌集團有限公司

(Incorporated in Bermuda with limited liability)

(Stock Code: 211)

ANNOUNCEMENT RELATING TO THE SPECIAL GENERAL MEETING HELD ON 29 APRIL 2009

Reference is made to the special general meeting of the Company held on 29 April 2009 (the “**SGM**”), the notice convening the SGM (the “**Notice**”) and the supplemental circular to the shareholders of the Company (the “**Shareholders**”) dated 9 April 2009 (the “**Supplemental Circular**”). Terms used in this announcement shall have the same meanings as those defined in the Supplemental Circular unless the context otherwise requires.

One of the purposes of the SGM is to respond to Shareholders’ requisition that the Shareholders shall be afforded a platform to discuss the agenda proposed by certain minority Shareholders set out in the announcement of the Company dated 28 October 2008, namely, (i) to discuss the possibility of reaching an amicable settlement instead of bringing legal proceedings regarding the Petition (the “**Legal Proceedings**”); and (ii) to discuss the objective of the legal proceedings instituted by the Securities and Futures Commission (the “**SFC**”) and its impact on the prospect of the resumption of trading of the Shares.

In the course of the SGM, a number of Shareholders and the legal representative of a voluntary group of minority shareholders of the Company (the “**Concern Group**”) had expressed their opinions and views on the aforesaid agenda. Such opinions had been duly recorded by the company secretary of the Company during the SGM.

With a view to informing the Shareholders about the opinions expressed by the Shareholders and their representative in the SGM, a summary of such opinions is set out below:-

- (i) A Shareholder raised if the SFC had ever attempted to contact the minority Shareholders and to seek their views before it commenced the Legal Proceedings. He further expressed his view that the Legal Proceedings should be settled amicably instead of pursuing litigation.
- (ii) A Shareholder commented that, as a matter of practicality, it would be preferable to resolve the Legal Proceedings by way of mediation and settlement than protracted litigation, so that trading of the Shares could resume as soon as possible and minority Shareholders would be able to trade their Shares freely. The Shareholder raised whether the SFC, before it commenced the Legal Proceedings, had considered the consequence of the Legal Proceedings and consulted the minority Shareholders. The Shareholder further raised whether the pursuit of litigation was the best way to resolve the Legal Proceedings.
- (iii) A Shareholder pointed out that the results of the Company in recent years were satisfactory, and dividends had been declared. The Shareholder queried the standard and evidence by which the Stock Exchange employed in supporting their accusation that the Company had corporate governance problem.
- (iv) A Shareholder questioned whether the SFC had duly consulted the members of the Company and the Directors not being involved in the Legal Proceedings before it took out the Petition. The Shareholder was also skeptical about the rationale for taking out the Petition.
- (v) The legal representative of the Concerned Group also expressed the views of the Concerned Group. She questioned the propriety of the Stock Exchange's decision to suspend the trading of the Shares until the final determination of the disciplinary proceedings against the Company and certain of its Directors and whether it was in the interest of the Shareholders. To link up the disciplinary proceedings against certain Directors with the resumption of trading of the Shares of the Company would inevitably further prolong the suspension of trading as the disciplinary proceedings were yet to be concluded at that moment. It was further observed that such prolonged suspension (for about 5 years) was unreasonable and would be detrimental to the interests of the Company and the Shareholders as a whole. This would interfere with the normal operation of the market. The Company was urged to take all possible measures to procure the resumption of trading of the Shares.
- (vi) A Shareholder opined that the SFC shall look into the matter with respect to the Listing Division of the Stock Exchange's approach regarding the prolonged suspension of trading of Shares and ascertain if the mechanism of suspension of trading had been misused.

- (vii) A Shareholder expressed confidence in the management of the Company, but regretted to note that his investment in the Company was at stake due to the prolonged suspension of trading. He urged the Directors and management of the Company to use their best endeavours to obtain resumption of trading of Shares and complained whether it was right for the Stock Exchange to deprive the Company of its rights as a member paying annual fees to use the trading facilities of the Stock Exchange.
- (viii) A Shareholder requested the Company to enhance the transparency of its dealings with the Stock Exchange as regard the resumption of trading of Shares. In particular, it was requested that the Company should disclose its correspondence with the Stock Exchange relating to the resumption of trading of Shares to the Concerned Group, and make request to the Stock Exchange that representatives of the Concerned Group should be allowed to sit in the Stock Exchange's disciplinary hearings against the Company. The Shareholder also noted that there were certain investors subscribing for securities of the Company in the sum of tens of million of Hong Kong dollars, and thus, he queried why the Stock Exchange did not take into account these new investments, which would benefit the Company and allow the resumption of trading of the Shares. Further, it was noted that since the transactions mentioned in the Legal Proceedings had already been ratified in the SGM, there should not be any reason or ground for the SFC and the Stock Exchange to pursue the Legal Proceedings any further.

This announcement only set out part of the remarks made by the Shareholders in the SGM, and is not in any way meant to be comprehensive and exhaustive.

If any Shareholder or potential investor wishes to obtain further information in relation to the SGM, or updates regarding the Legal Proceedings and the resumption of trading of the Shares, please contact the Company's Shareholders' Service Centre, the contact methods of which is set out below:

Tel: (852) 2959 3123
Fax: (852) 2310 4522
Email: shareholder@styland.com

By Order of the Board
STYLAND HOLDINGS LIMITED
Wang Chin Mong
Company Secretary

Hong Kong, 29 June 2009

As at the date of this announcement, the Board consists of four executive Directors, Ms. Yeung Han Yi Yvonne, Ms. Chan Chi Mei Miranda, Mr. Cheung Hoo Win and Ms. Zhang Yuyan and four independent non-executive Directors, Mr. Yeung Shun Kee Edward, Mr. Li Hancheng, Mr. Lo Tsz Fung Philip and Mr. Zhao Qingji.